



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,071	12/29/2000	Stephen S. Selkirk	00-116-DSK 4518 EXAMINER	
75	90 06/23/2004			
Wayne P. Bailey			LANE, JOHN A	
Storage Technology Corporation One StorageTek Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Louisville, CO 80028-4309			2188	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	\mathcal{U}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/752,071	SELKIRK ET AL.	01
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack A Lane	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	plication. A proper reply which places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	ire later than SIX MONTHS from the m VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS O	ailing date of the final rejection F THE FINAL REJECTION.	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for re Office later than three months after the	amount of the fee. The appro eply originally set in the final 0	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	nt's Brief must be filed within the CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	e period set forth in al of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	3.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. \square The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner contends Voigt's management information (i.e. physical capacity, number of storage disks, allocated capacity, characteristics of the RAID, percentage to be used, performance and availablity) is information that takes up many storage locations within memory. Even a single parameter (e.g. physical capacity) takes up more than one memory location. A change to a single parameter would necessarily invlove changes to several different memory locations substantially simultaneously.

JACK A. WANE PRIMARY EXAMINER